

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/086,067 | 02/28/2002 | Benjamin P. Hoag | 83659AEK | 1424 | |
| 75 | 90 04/02/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Paul A. Leipold | | | GARRETT, DAWN L | | |
| Patent Legal Sta Eastman Kodak | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 343 State Street | | | 1774 | | |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 | | | DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| A al. da = A a 41 = | 10/086,067 | HOAG ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dawn Garrett | 1774 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mai b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). | s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T | ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. | on. See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). To fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | d of extension and the corresponding amously of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the ma | ount of the fee. The appropriate of the final appropriate in the final appropriate from the final appr | Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | t's Brief must be filed within the p FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | eriod set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request to application in condition for allowance because: | for reconsideration has been cons <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | sidered but does NO | T place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which wer | e newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims | ent(s) a) will not be entered or the would be rejected is provided below. | o) will be entered a low or appended. | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | s: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a | pproved or b)☐ disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly proposed claims 27 and 28 are of a scope not previously considered. The substituents recited in the proposed claims have not been specifically considered or searched. In addition, proposed claim 1 is of a scope not previously considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed claims have not been entered and the previous rejections are respectfully maintained.

DAWN GARRETT PATENT EXAMINER

Dawn Ganett